Wisconsin Statewide Waste Characterization Study Summary 2002

In 2002, the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources contracted with Cascadia Consulting Group to conduct the first statewide waste composition study at 14 Wisconsin municipal solid waste landfills¹. During the last six months of 2002, Cascadia physically sorted over 400 samples of in-state generated waste delivered to these landfills into 64 discrete categories in order to find out what kind of wastes are being landfilled in Wisconsin. The results of the study provide the best profile to date of the actual waste disposal practices of Wisconsin residents and businesses.

Together with complementary data from previous surveys and studies, the Cascadia study indicates that:

- wood waste (12.8%), food waste (10.2%), and "non-banned" compostable paper (9%) constitute the largest categories of waste going to our landfills;
- construction and demolition activities contribute about 30% of the waste going to landfills;
- plastic film and other miscellaneous "non-banned" plastic products make up 7.7%
 of the waste going to our landfills. This includes agricultural bags, consumer
 packaging and shopping bags.
- the landfill material bans, instituted in the 1990s to bolster recycling, have successfully reduced disposal of recyclables in landfills;
- new approaches to certain materials may be needed to further reduce the volume of recyclable material that is lost to landfilling.

Waste Sort Methodology

Wisconsin has approximately 36 active municipal solid waste landfills; industrial waste landfills, for wastes such as paper mill sludge and coal ash, were not included in this study. The 14 landfills chosen for this study represent a cross-section of Wisconsin landfills, both in terms of their geographic distribution around the state and in the mix of urban, suburban, rural, commercial, residential and construction/demolition waste that they accept. The 14 landfills are among the largest in the state, and together represented about 78 percent of all the in-state generated municipal solid waste disposed of in Wisconsin.

At each landfill, the Cascadia samplers randomly selected vehicles entering the landfill. The selected vehicles were sent to the sort crew where the field supervisor verified information about the load. The load was then tipped and samples weighing 200 to 300 pounds were randomly selected. The waste in the samples was physically sorted into the 64 material categories. Material weights and other information were recorded on the field forms. This information was then used to determine the composition of in-state waste in Wisconsin's landfills.

¹ The study was funded by \$150,000 appropriated from the segregated recycling fund in the 2001 state budget. A complete copy of the report is available in pdf format on the DNR Waste program publication website http://www.dnr.state.wi.us/org/aw/wm/publications.

Results of the Waste Sort

Table 1 presents the estimated weights and percentages for landfilled waste in Wisconsin in 2002. For each of the categories, the annual tonnage estimate is accompanied by a mean percent (highlighted in gray) and a range of percents that represents the 90 percent statistical confidence interval (i.e., there is a 90% chance that the actual percent falls between the high and the low ends of the range).

Table 1 - MSW Landfill Composition Profile for Wisconsin²

Conf. Interval Conf. Interval Tons Tons JOW Low 987,646 20.8% 1,364,053 28.7% C&DPaper 92,270 1.9% 2.2% 0.9% Wood - treated 44,459 0.4% 1.4% Newsprint 1.7% High Grade Paper 65.585 1.4% 1.1% 1.7% Wood - untreated 607.650 12.8% 10.7% 14.9% 47,381 0.8% Rock/Concrete/Brick 165,727 3.5% 2.2% 4.8% Magazines/Catalogs 1.0% 1.1% Cardboard - recyclable 188,176 4.0% 3.0% 4.9% Drywall 80,164 1.7% 1.1% 2.2% Cardboard - coated 11,123 0.4% Roofing Shingles 6.0% 0.2% 0.1% 284,752 4.0% 8.0% Boxboard 34,835 0.7% 0.7% 0.8% **PVC** 2,261 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% Mixed Recyclable Paper 201,715 4.2% 3.9% 4.6% Ceramics/Porcelain 15,640 0.3% 0.2% 0.5% Compostable Paper 228,310 4.8% Other C&D 163,399 4.3% 5.3% 3.4% 2.1% 4.7% R/C Paper 118,250 2.5% 3.2% Problem Wastes 367,230 7.7% 1.8% 499,313 10.5% 23,915 0.5% 0.2% 0.8% **Plastics** Televisions PET Bottles 19,610 0.4% 0.4% 0.5% Computer Monitors 10,052 0.2% 0.0%0.4% HDPE Bottles - natural 0.2% 0.1% 0.2% 2,779 0.1% 0.0% 8.382 Computer Equipment 0.1% HDPE Bottles - colored 10,373 0.2% 0.2% 0.3% Electronic Equipment 64,472 1.4% 1.0% 1.7% #3-#7 Other Plastic Bottles 809 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% White Goods - refrigerated 13,816 0.3% 0.0% 0.5% White Goods - non-refrigerated Polystyrene 22,435 0.5% 0.4% 0.5% 12,132 0.3% 0.0% 0.5% Other Rigid Plastic Containers 74,119 1.6% 1.1% 2.0% Lead-Acid Batteries 6.985 0.1% 0.0% 0.3% Plastic Film 188,990 4.4% Other Household Batteries 2,832 0.1% 0.0%4.0% 3.6% 0.1% R/C Plastic 174,597 3.7% 2.9% 4.4% Tires 27,701 0.6% 0.2% 1.0% 299,245 6.3% 2.6% **Bulky Items** 124,612 1.8% 3.4% Metal Aluminum Cans 16,291 0.3% 0.3% 0.4% Fluorescent Lights 242 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Other Aluminum 15,025 0.3% 0.2% 0.4% **Ballasts** 767 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 76,926 1.6% Tin Cans 25,715 0.4% Pallets 0.5% 0.6% 1.0% 2.3% Ferrous Metals 171,086 Household Hazardous 26,155 0.6% 3.6% 2.6% 4 6% 5,965 Latex Paint Non-Ferrous Metals 0.1% 0.1% 0.2% 6 988 0.1% 0.0%0.3% R/C Metal 65,163 1.4% 0.9% 1.8% Oil Paint 1,095 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 107,862 2.3% Pesticides/Fertilizers 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Glass 0 Glass - recyclable 42,721 0.9% 0.8% 1.0% Auto Used Oil Filters 1,874 0.0% 0.0%0.1% R/C Glass 65,141 1.4% 0.6% 2.2% Mercury 6 0.0% 0.0%0.0% 853,914 18.0% Other Hazardous 16,191 0.3% 0.0% 0.7% **Organics** 1.6% Other Wastes 246,800 5.2% Yard Waste - <6" 56,562 1.2% 0.8% Yard Waste - >6" 5,359 0.1% 0.0%0.2%Textiles 115,867 2.4% 1.9% 3.0% Food 486,619 10.2% 9.0% 11.4% Carpet 116,160 2.4% 1.4% 3.5% 85,006 1.8% 1.5% 2.1% Carpet Padding 14,773 0.3% 0.2% 0.5% Diapers Animal Waste/Kitty Litter 45,260 1.0% 0.7% 1.2% Bottom Fines/Dirt 79,296 1.7% 1.4% 1.9% R/C Organic 95,812 2.0% 1.5% 2.5% **Total Tons** 4,752,218 Sample Count

_

² Source: Cascadia Consulting Group, Table 3-3

Table 2 presents the top 10 waste types (by weight) as determined in the study. Collectively, these waste types--predominantly construction and demolition debris, paper and food--make up more than half of the waste disposed of in the state's municipal solid waste landfills. Construction and demolition debris includes dense materials such as shingles and brick, increasing its prominence in a study based on material weight. Plastic film (primarily trash bags, grocery bags and plastic wrap), which is much less dense than most other wastes when landfilled, still accounted for 4 percent of all waste landfilled and is more noticeable in visual terms at landfills. Recyclable cardboard is the only of the "banned" items to appear among the top ten waste types. Despite its appearance in this category, it's recycling rate is calculated at 70% of generation³.

Table 2 - Top 10 Wastes Types

Waste Category	Tons in Landfill	% of Landfill
Untreated wood	607,650 tons	12.8%
Food waste	486,619 tons	10.2%
Roofing shingles	284,752 tons	6.0%
Compostable paper	228,310 tons	4.8%
Mixed Recyclable paper	201,715 tons	4.2%
Plastic Film	188,990 tons	4.0%
Recyclable cardboard	188,176 tons	4.0%
RC plastic	174,597 tons	3.7%
Ferrous metals	171,086 tons	3.6%
Rock/concrete/brick	165,727 tons	3.5%

Landfill Banned Items

One of the key objectives of the 2002 Waste Composition Study was to determine whether Wisconsin's statutory bans on landfilling of various materials have been effective in keeping the bulk of these wastes out of landfills. It is important to note that, except for major appliances, lead-acid batteries, waste oil and yard waste, Wisconsin's landfill bans are not absolute. The bans allow for landfilling of certain recyclable banned materials if they are generated in a municipality with an effective recycling program but have not been separated out for recycling. The bans rely on local municipalities to enforce recycling of the banned materials, but realistically allow for less than 100 percent compliance by residents and businesses in those municipalities.

Table 3 presents the amount, by weight, of banned materials going to Wisconsin landfills as determined in the study. Recycling rates for these materials are discussed in the DNR companion publication "Status of Recycling Report" produced in July 2003. ⁴ The bans appear to be less successful in reducing the landfilling of plastic containers and aluminum cans than for the other banned materials. Landfilling of these materials likely reflects the rapidly growing prevalence of single-serve beverage consumption away from home, where recycling opportunities are much more limited.

³ See "Status of Recycling Report" available in pdf format on the DNR Waste program publication website http://www.dnr.state.wi.us/org/aw/wm/publications.

⁴ This report is available in pdf format on the DNR Waste program publication website http://www.dnr.state.wi.us/org/aw/wm/publications.

Table 3 - Landfilling of Banned Materials

Waste Category	Tons in	% of
,	landfill	Landfill
1991 Ban		
Lead acid batteries ¹	6,985 tons	Not
		calculated
Major appliances 1,2,3	25,948 tons	Not
		calculated
1993 Ban		
Yard waste	56,562 tons	1.2 %
1995 Ban		
Aluminum & steel	42,006 tons	0.8 %
containers		
Corrugated paper	188,176 tons	4.0 %
Plastic containers	38,365 tons	0.8 %
Glass	42,721 tons	0.9 %
Magazines	47,381 tons	1.0 %
Office paper	65,585 tons	1.4 %
Newspaper	92,270 tons	1.9 %
Waste tires 1,3	27,701 tons	Not
		calculated

- ¹ Absolute ban
- ² Microwave ovens can be landfilled if capacitors are removed
- Cascadia landfilling estimates are considered high -- landfill operators remove observed tires and appliances prior to burial. Landfill inspection reports indicate that these materials effectively have been eliminated from the landfill waste stream. In addition, a large amount of waste tires are incinerated.

Conclusions

The 2002 Waste Composition Study provides valuable information for assessing the performance of the state's existing waste management and recycling programs and for identifying opportunities for the future. Overall, the study indicates that Wisconsinites are doing a good job complying with existing landfill bans and minimizing most easily recyclable waste that goes to landfills. Particular bright spots include the diversion of significant amounts of yard waste, household hazardous waste, newspaper, and cardboard. These data, combined with results of the most recent telephone survey of Wisconsin residents' household recycling practices⁵, indicate strong and continuing acceptance of recycling as a way of life in Wisconsin. The waste sort identified new materials which are found in sufficient quantity to offer significant opportunities for increased diversion. Construction and demolition debris (~30% of material landfilled) and

⁵ The June, 2002 University of Wisconsin Survey Center's "Wisconsin Household Trash Disposal and Recycling, 1990-2002" survey commissioned by DNR indicated 94% of households recycle at least some portion of their trash, and 67% of residents "strongly committed" to recycling.

organics (food waste and several paper categories) appear to have the most potential. The studies reaffirm our success and identify the areas where we need to improve; they will help DNR and stakeholders address the future direction of the recycling program.